


*Data extrapolated from 11-24 Boeing Wind Tunnel testing and mechanical BallastRack hydraulic load testing.




Specifications:

- Designed for ballasted deployment of solar modules on flat roofs or flat ground scenarios.
- Utilizes standard 32lb 4in x 8in x16in CMU cinder blocks.
- Roof material slip sheets (9in x 6in) recommended underneath each base.


	PROJECT IntegraRack				
	TITLE IR-05MBDB Solar Racking System Data Sheet				
APPROVED	SIZE	CODE	DWG NO		REV
CHECKED	B				
DRAWN Paul Budge 9/25/2025	SCALE	WEIGHT		SHEET 1/3	

IR-05MBDB Solar Racking System		
	Module size up to 24 sqft	Module size up to 34 sqft
Maximum Snow Load		
	100psf	65psf
Maximum Wind Speed (Ballast Block and Wind Spoiler REQUIRED)		
2 Base Mounts per panel 20lb CMU Blocks	100mph	80mph
3 Base Mounts per panel 20lb CMU Blocks	120mph	100mph
2 Base Mounts per panel 32lb CMU Blocks	140mph	100mph
3 Base Mounts per panel 32lb CMU Blocks	160mph	120mph

5 Degree Configuration Dimensions
BALLAST BLOCK AND WIND SPOILER CONFIGURATION



Front View




Side View



Back Isometric View

IR-MultiBallast DB
EXPLODED VIEW





March 7, 2024
 Mr. Paul Budge
 Diversi-Tech Corp - IntegraRack
 PO Box 910758
 St. George, UT 84791

Subject: Compression, Horizontal, and Uplift Load Testing on the Universal L Foot Bracket (PN IR-DMLF2000) & IR-T1 No Penetration Tile Roof Bracket (IR-FCTR1500)

Dear Mr. Budge,

Please find included our test reports for the compression load test, horizontal load test, and combined uplift load testing of the Universal L Foot Bracket (PN IR-DMLF2000) & IR-T1 No Penetration Tile Roof Bracket (IR-FCTR1500) performed at our laboratory at 941 S. Park Lane, Tempe, AZ on 10/04/2023. The solar panels were installed on the tile roof using a total of 4 brackets

The compression load test was performed using dead weight provided by water filled plastic jugs that were weighed on our Instron 5985 test machine and had an average weight of 45.31 lbf. Test loads up to 815.58 lbf, equivalent to 38.00 psf force applied to the solar panels, were applied by evenly distributing the dead weight on the solar panel. Brackets were inspected before, during, and after the load test. Under load the brackets deflected downwards towards the roof but did not come into contact with the tile. Once the weight was removed the brackets were inspected and found to have lifted back to their original position and no visual permanent damage was noted. Test run details are shown in the table below.

COMPRESSION TEST LOAD INFORMATION			
TEST LOAD 1:	11 Jugs = 498.41 lbf = 23.22 psf	TEST LOAD 2:	17 Jugs = 770.27 lbf = 35.88 psf
TEST LOAD 3:	18 Jugs = 815.58 lbf = 38.00 psf		
COMPRESSION TEST LOAD BRACKET AND PANEL VISUAL DEFLECTION OBSERVATIONS			
NO.	NO LOAD - INITIAL VISUAL BRACKET SPACING	AT LOAD 1 (498.41 lbf) VISUAL BRACKET SPACING	AT LOAD 2 (770.27 lbf) VISUAL BRACKET SPACING
1	No contact	No contact	No contact
2	No contact	No contact	No contact
3	No contact	No contact	No contact
4	No contact	No contact	No contact

The horizontal load test was conducted using a Weightronic Digital Dynamometer (Model OCS-Y) and chain hoist. A horizontal load of at least 500 lbf was applied at the center of the crossbeam that was attached to the panel brackets. The test specimen held the load and no damage or permanent deformation was noted as shown in the test observations table shown below.

HORIZONTAL LOAD TEST TO > 500 lbf - VISUAL TEST OBSERVATIONS						
NO.	INITIAL		UNDER TEST LOAD (500 lbf)		AFTER LOAD REMOVAL	
	BRACKET DEFORMATION	PANEL DEFORMATION	BRACKET DEFORMATION	PANEL DEFORMATION	BRACKET DEFORMATION	PANEL DEFORMATION
1	None	None	Moderate	Moderate	None	None
2	None	None	Moderate	Moderate	None	None
3	None	None	Moderate	Moderate	None	None
4	None	None	Moderate	Moderate	None	None

The uplift load test was conducted using a Weightronic Digital Dynamometer (Model OCS-Y) and chain hoist. An uplift load of 710 lbf, equivalent to a 33.08 psf uplift force evenly applied to the solar panels, was applied at the center of the crossbeam that was attached to the four panel brackets. The test specimen held the load and no damage or permanent deformation of the test brackets was noted as shown in the test observations table shown below.

UPLIFT TENSILE LOAD TO 710 lbf - VISUAL TEST OBSERVATIONS						
NO.	INITIAL		UNDER UPLIFT TEST LOAD (710 lbf)		AFTER LOAD REMOVAL	
	BRACKET DEFORMATION	PANEL DEFORMATION	BRACKET DEFORMATION	PANEL DEFORMATION	BRACKET DEFORMATION	PANEL DEFORMATION
1	None	None	Moderate	Moderate	None	None
2	None	None	Moderate	Moderate	None	None
3	None	None	Moderate	Severe	None	None
4	None	None	Moderate	Moderate	None	None

Test reports with additional details, photos, and sketches of the test setup and load points have been attached.

Respectfully submitted,
PHOENIX NATIONAL LABORATORIES, INC.

Kyle Fleege

Kyle Fleege, P.E.
 Project Manager / Mechanical Engineer
 Phoenix National Laboratories
 Ph: 1.602.431.8887
 kyle@pnltest.com
 www.pnltest.com



LABORATORY TEST REPORT

Compression Load Test
Ceramic Tile Roof

Page 1 of 3

CLIENT		CLIENT PROJECT REF. NO.		CLIENT ORDER NO.	
Diversi-Tech Corporation		Bracket Test - Vertical Load		per S.A.	
MATERIAL SUBMITTED BY	PNL PROJECT NO.	S.O. NO.	PNL LAB NO.	TEST DATE	REPORT DATE
Client	26-231261	001	ML845913	10/04/2023	10/13/2023
SAMPLE DESCRIPTION				TECHNICIANS	
Tile roof w/ Universal L Foot Bracket & IR-T1 No Penetration Tile Roof Bracket				TR, MC, DG	
TEST DATA & EQUIPMENT INFORMATION					
TEMPERATURE:	88 °F ± 3 °F		HUMIDITY:	15%	
TEST SPECIMEN SIZE:	Tile roof: 39.375 in. x 78.500 in.		TEST SPECIMEN AREA:	21.465 ft ²	
COMPRESSION TYPE:	Fixed weight; applied with Water Jugs		AVERAGE FORCE PER JUG:	45.31 lbf	
BRACKET COMPONENT 1:	Universal L Foot Bracket		BRACKET PART NO. 1:	IR-DMLF2000	
BRACKET COMPONENT 2:	IR-T1 No Penetration Tile Roof Bracket		BRACKET PART NO. 2:	IR-FCTR1500	
COMPRESSION TEST LOAD INFORMATION					
TEST LOAD 1:	11 Jugs = 498.41 lbf = 23.22 psf		TEST LOAD 2:	17 Jugs = 770.27 lbf = 35.88 psf	
TEST LOAD 3:	18 Jugs = 815.58 lbf = 38.00 psf				
BRACKET AND PANEL VISUAL DEFLECTION OBSERVATIONS					
NO.	NO LOAD - INITIAL VISUAL BRACKET SPACING	AT LOAD 1 - VISUAL BRACKET SPACING	AT LOAD 2 - BRACKET SPACING	AT LOAD 3 - VISUAL SPACING	
1	No contact	No contact	No contact	No contact	
2	No contact	No contact	No contact	No contact	
3	No contact	No contact	No contact	No contact	
4	No contact	No contact	No contact	No contact	

REMARKS:

TECHNICIAN

Timmy Paez

REVIEWED BY


Kyle Hays

LABORATORY TEST REPORT

Compression Load Test
Ceramic Tile Roof

CLIENT		CLIENT PROJECT REF. NO.			CLIENT ORDER NO.	
Diversi-Tech Corporation		Bracket Test - Vertical Load			per S.A.	
MATERIAL SUBMITTED BY	PNL PROJECT NO.	S.O. NO.	PNL LAB NO.	TEST DATE	REPORT DATE	
Client	26-231261	001	ML845913	10/04/2023	10/13/2023	
SAMPLE DESCRIPTION				TECHNICIANS		
Tile roof w/ Universal L Foot Bracket & IR-T1 No Penetration Tile Roof Bracket				TR, MC, DG		
TEST PROCEDURE/DESCRIPTION						
The test specimen consisted of the solar panels, brackets, railing and the simulation tile roof. The bracket locations were visually examined for gap spacing to ensure there was no contact between the roof tile and the bracket. At each location a sheet of paper was slid beneath the bracket to verify open spacing between the tile and bottom of the bracket. After the initial inspection the compressive load was applied using plastic jugs filled with water. Four jugs were randomly selected and weighted to determine an average weight per jug of 45.31 lbf. Load was applied evenly by even distribuion of 11 water jugs across the test specimen. After the first load was applied, the gaps between the brackets and tile roof were examined. Additional load was applied with 17 jugs and then 18 jugs. At 18 jugs the gap between the bracket and the roof was too tight to fit the sheet of paper underneath due to geometry of the tile roof but the bracket was not in contact with the roof. After the final load test, all the weights were removed and the test specimen was visually examined for any permanent damage or deformaton.						
DEAD WEIGHT & DEFLECTION MEASUREMENT EQUIPMENT INFORMATION						
EQUIPMENT MODEL:	Instron 5985 UTM [SN 1246]		CALIBRATION DATE:		07/25/2023	
LOAD CELL:	2 kN (449.6 lbf) [SN 138082]		CALIBRATION DATE:		07/25/2023	
EQUIPMENT MODEL:	Mitutoyo Digital Caliper [SN 14199376]		CALIBRATION DATE:		10/04/2023	
DEAD WEIGHT MEASUREMENTS						
NO. 1 (lbf)	NO. 2 (lbf)	NO. 3 (lbf)	NO. 4 (lbf)	AVERAGE (lbf)		
45.45	45.65	44.69	45.46	45.31		

VISUAL DEFORMATION LOCATION SKETCH



● Bracket/Panel Gap Spacing Check

CLIENT		CLIENT PROJECT REF. NO.		CLIENT ORDER NO.	
Diversi-Tech Corporation		Bracket Test - Vertical Load		per S.A.	
MATERIAL SUBMITTED BY	PNL PROJECT NO.	S.O. NO.	PNL LAB NO.	TEST DATE	REPORT DATE
Client	26-231261	001	ML845912	10/04/2023	10/13/2023
SAMPLE DESCRIPTION				TECHNICIANS	
Tile roof w/ Universal L Foot Bracket & IR-T1 No Penetration Tile Roof Bracket				TR, MC, DG	

PHOTOS




PHOTO 1: Overview of solar panel and tile roof deck - before tests




PHOTO 2: Typical Bracket - before tests



PHOTO 3: Load 1



PHOTO 4: Load 1 - Bracket under load



PHOTO 5: Load 2



PHOTO 6: Load 2 - Bracket under load



PHOTO 7: Unloaded - after tests



PHOTO 8: Unloaded - after tests



PHOTO 9: Unloaded Bracket - after tests

Note: Bracket photos are typical of all locations

LABORATORY TEST REPORT

Horizontal Load Test
Ceramic Tile Roof

Page 1 of 3

CLIENT		CLIENT PROJECT REF. NO.		CLIENT ORDER NO.		
Diversi-Tech Corporation		Bracket Test - Horizontal Load		per S.A.		
MATERIAL SUBMITTED BY	PNL PROJECT NO.	S.O. NO.	PNL LAB NO.	TEST DATE	REPORT DATE	
Client	26-231261	001	ML845913	10/04/2023	10/13/2023	
SAMPLE DESCRIPTION				TECHNICIANS		
Tile roof w/ Universal L Foot Bracket & IR-T1 No Penetration Tile Roof Bracket				TR, MC, DG		
TEST PROCEDURE/DESCRIPTION						
The test specimen consisted of the solar panels, brackets, railings and the simulation roof. The test specimen was initially visually examined for any damage or permanent deformation prior to testing. The horizontal load was applied after initial inspection was completed. The horizontal load was applied using a chain hoist and a digital dynamometer. The load was applied at the center of the crossbeam. The crossbeam was attached to the solar panel brackets. After the load was applied the specimen was examined for any damages or deformation while under load. After examination the horizontal load was released and the test specimen was examined again for any permanent deformation or damage.						
TEST DATA & EQUIPMENT INFORMATION						
TEMPERATURE:	88 °F ± 3 °F		HUMIDITY:	15% ± 5%		
TEST SPECIMEN SIZE:	Tile roof: 39.375 in. x 78.500 in.		TEST SPECIMEN AREA:	21.465 ft ²		
LOAD TYPE:	Tensile/Pull, applied with chain hoist		TEST LOAD:	>500 lbf		
EQUIPMENT TYPE:	Weightronic Dynamometer		EQUIPMENT MODEL:	OCS-Y / 3000 kg Max.		
BRACKET COMPONENT 1:	Universal L Foot Bracket		BRACKET PART NO. 1:	IR-DMLF2000		
BRACKET COMPONENT 2:	IR-T1 No Penetration Tile Roof Bracket		BRACKET PART NO. 2:	IR-FCTR1500		
VISUAL TEST OBSERVATIONS						
NO.	INITIAL		UNDER TEST LOAD (500 lbf)		AFTER LOAD REMOVAL	
	BRACKET DEFORMATION	PANEL DEFORMATION	BRACKET DEFORMATION	PANEL DEFORMATION	BRACKET DEFORMATION	PANEL DEFORMATION
1	None	None	Moderate	Moderate	None	None
2	None	None	Moderate	Moderate	None	None
3	None	None	Moderate	Moderate	None	None
4	None	None	Moderate	Moderate	None	None
OBSERVATIONS						
After removal of test load all brackets returned to original position with no permanent deformation noted. The solar panel did not show any signs of permanent damage or deformation.						

TECHNICIAN

Timmy Paez

REVIEWED BY

Kyle Hays


LABORATORY TEST REPORT

Horizontal Load Test
Ceramic Tile Roof

Page 2 of 3

CLIENT		CLIENT PROJECT REF. NO.			CLIENT ORDER NO.	
Diversi-Tech Corporation		Bracket Test - Horizontal Load			per S.A.	
MATERIAL SUBMITTED BY	PNL PROJECT NO.	S.O. NO.	PNL LAB NO.	TEST DATE	REPORT DATE	
Client	26-231261	001	ML845913	10/04/2023	10/13/2023	
SAMPLE DESCRIPTION					TECHNICIANS	
Tile roof w/ Universal L Foot Bracket & IR-T1 No Penetration Tile Roof Bracket					TR, MC, DG	

SKETCH



● Bracket/Panel Gap Spacing Check

CLIENT		CLIENT PROJECT REF. NO.			CLIENT ORDER NO.
Diversi-Tech Corporation		Bracket Test - Horizontal Load			per S.A.
MATERIAL SUBMITTED BY	PNL PROJECT NO.	S.O. NO.	PNL LAB NO.	TEST DATE	REPORT DATE
Client	26-231261	001	ML845913	10/04/2023	10/13/2023
SAMPLE DESCRIPTION					TECHNICIANS
Tile roof w/ Universal L Foot Bracket & IR-T1 No Penetration Tile Roof Bracket					TR, MC, DG

PHOTOS




PHOTO 1: Overview of solar panel and tile roof deck - before tests



PHOTO 2: Bracket with no load



PHOTO 3: Test setup for horizontal pull load



PHOTO 4: Applied load



PHOTO 5: Bracket under load



PHOTO 6: Test setup after test

LABORATORY TEST REPORT

Uplift Tensile Load Test
Ceramic Tile Roof

Page 1 of 3

CLIENT		CLIENT PROJECT REF. NO.		CLIENT ORDER NO.		
Diversi-Tech Corporation		Bracket Test - Uplift Load		per S.A.		
MATERIAL SUBMITTED BY	PNL PROJECT NO.	S.O. NO.	PNL LAB NO.	TEST DATE	REPORT DATE	
Client	26-231261	001	ML845913	10/04/2023	10/13/2023	
SAMPLE DESCRIPTION				TECHNICIANS		
Tile roof w/ Universal L Foot Bracket & IR-T1 No Penetration Tile Roof Bracket				TR, MC, DG		
TEST PROCEDURE/DESCRIPTION						
The test specimen consisted of the solar panels, brackets, railing, and the simulation tile roof. The test specimen was visually examined for any damage or permanent deformation prior to testing. The uplift tensile pull load was applied after initial inspection was completed. Uplift load was applied using a chain hoist and a digital dynamometer. The load was applied at the center point of the cross beam. The cross beam was attached to the solar panel brackets. After the load was applied the specimen was examined for any damages or deformation. After examination the vertical load was released and the test specimen was examined again for any permanent deformation.						
TEST DATA & EQUIPMENT INFORMATION						
TEMPERATURE:	88 °F ± 3 °F		HUMIDITY:	15% ± 5%		
TEST SPECIMEN SIZE:	Tile roof: 39.375 in. x 78.500 in.		TEST SPECIMEN AREA:	21.465 ft ²		
LOAD TYPE:	Uplift tensile, applied with chain hoist		TEST LOAD:	710 lbf		
EQUIPMENT TYPE:	Weightronic Dynamometer		EQUIPMENT MODEL:	OCS-Y / 3000 kg Max.		
BRACKET COMPONENT 1:	Universal L Foot Bracket		BRACKET PART NO. 1:	IR-DMLF2000		
BRACKET COMPONENT 2:	IR-T1 No Penetration Tile Roof Bracket		BRACKET PART NO. 2:	IR-FCTR1500		
VISUAL TEST OBSERVATIONS						
NO.	INITIAL		UNDER UPLIFT TEST LOAD (710 lbf)		AFTER LOAD REMOVAL	
	BRACKET DEFORMATION	PANEL DEFORMATION	BRACKET DEFORMATION	PANEL DEFORMATION	BRACKET DEFORMATION	PANEL DEFORMATION
1	None	None	Moderate	Moderate	None	None
2	None	None	Moderate	Moderate	None	None
3	None	None	Moderate	Severe	None	None
4	None	None	Moderate	Moderate	None	None
OBSERVATIONS						
After removal of the uplift test load all brackets returned to original position with no permanent deformation noted.						
The solar panel did not show any signs of permanent damage or deformation.						
At Bracket No. 3, the tile roof shingle separated at the glue bond from the shingle underneath.						

TECHNICIAN

Timmy Paez

REVIEWED BY

Kyle Hays


LABORATORY TEST REPORT

Uplift Tensile Load Test
Ceramic Tile Roof

Page 2 of 3

CLIENT		CLIENT PROJECT REF. NO.		CLIENT ORDER NO.	
Diversi-Tech Corporation		Bracket Test - Uplift Load		per S.A.	
MATERIAL SUBMITTED BY	PNL PROJECT NO.	S.O. NO.	PNL LAB NO.	TEST DATE	REPORT DATE
Client	26-231261	001	ML845913	10/04/2023	10/13/2023
SAMPLE DESCRIPTION				TECHNICIANS	
Tile roof w/ Universal L Foot Bracket & IR-T1 No Penetration Tile Roof Bracket				TR, MC, DG	

SKETCH



● Bracket/Panel Gap Spacing Check

CLIENT		CLIENT PROJECT REF. NO.		CLIENT ORDER NO.	
Diversi-Tech Corporation		Bracket Test - Uplift Load		per S.A.	
MATERIAL SUBMITTED BY	PNL PROJECT NO.	S.O. NO.	PNL LAB NO.	TEST DATE	REPORT DATE
Client	26-231261	001	ML845913	10/04/2023	10/13/2023
SAMPLE DESCRIPTION				TECHNICIANS	
Tile roof w/ Universal L Foot Bracket & IR-T1 No Penetration Tile Roof Bracket				TR, MC, DG	

PHOTOS




PHOTO 1: Overview of solar panel and tile roof deck - before tests




PHOTO 2: Overview of tensile test setup and connection



PHOTO 3: Brackets with no load



PHOTO 4: Test setup



PHOTO 5: Test specimen while under tensile load



PHOTO 6: Dynamometer scale under load



PHOTO 7: Bracket under test load



PHOTO 8: Bracket unloaded after test



PHOTO 9: Bracket unloaded after test - roof tile bond pulled apart.